

Eastern Great Lakes Symposium

Team Number	Student Chapter	Score	Rank	Best Speaker
Team 1	ASCE - UC	58	3	Kiley Kimmich
Team 2	ASCE - ONU	68	2	Drew Hurley
Team 3	ASCE - UA	69	1	Sierra Dewald

Scoring Rubric for Judges

Team (Company) Name: University of Cincinnati
 Student Chapter: ASCE - UC

Overall Score

Challenge Make-up Breakdown

Best Speaker / Leader

	1	2	3	4	5	6	Totals
Numerical Responses and Calculations Challenges that require a numerical calculation or answer will be scored: 1 pt. for a good faith effort in the response 2 pts. for the student understood what was asked of in the question 3 pts. for an answer within 25% +- of the correct answer 4 pts. for an answer within 10% +- of the correct answer							
	1	1	1	1	1	2	7
Diagrammatic or Pictorial Response Challenges that require a diagrammatic response in one page: 3-5 pt. for a good faith effort in the response 6-8 pts. for a reasonable and logical engineering solution							
	0	4	7				11
Presentation Delivery Volume and articulation, eye contact, enthusiasm, timing 8 pt. for a good faith effort in presentation delivery 16 pts for good articulation and transition from speaker to speaker 24 pts. for good enthusiasm, articulation, and professionalism							
	15						15
Presentation Content Understanding of project and engineering approach to each problem 8 pt. for basic walkthrough of problems 16 pts for understanding of problem cause and effect 24 pts. for thorough description of problems, understanding of solutions, and detailed response to construction engineering purpose behind the technical approach and project management plan for each problem.							
	20						20
Bonus 4pts for putting names on the submission and submitting in one PDF.							
	4						4
Deductions (Late submission)							
Total Score							57

Submission Forfeited

Judge Average

58

Scoring Rubric for Judges

Team (Company) Name: Ohio Northern University
 Student Chapter: ASCE - ONU

Overall Score

Challenge Make-up Breakdown

	1	2	3	4	5	6	Totals	
Numerical Responses and Calculations Challenges that require a numerical calculation or answer will be scored: 1 pt. for a good faith effort in the response 2 pts. for the student understood what was asked of in the question 3 pts. for an answer within 25% +or- of the correct answer 4 pts. for an answer within 10% +or- of the correct answer		2	1	1	1	0	0	5
Diagrammatic or Pictorial Response Challenges that require a diagrammatic response in one page: 3-5 pt. for a good faith effort in the response 6-8 pts. for a reasonable and logical engineering solution	6	6	8					20
Presentation Delivery Volume and articulation, eye contact, enthusiasm, timing 8 pt. for a good faith effort in presentation delivery 16 pts for good articulation and transition from speaker to speaker 24 pts. for good enthusiasm, articulation, and professionalism	23							23
Presentation Content Understanding of project and engineering approach to each problem 8 pt. for basic walkthrough of problems 16 pts for understanding of problem cause and effect 24 pts. for thorough description of problems, understanding of solutions, and detailed response to construction engineering purpose behind the technical approach and project management plan for each problem.	24							24
Bonus 4pts for putting names on the submission and submitting in one PDF.	4							4
Deductions (Late submission)								
Total Score								76

Best Speaker / Leader

Submission Forfeited

Judge Average

68

Scoring Rubric for Judges

Team (Company) Name: Akron University
Student Chapter: ASCE - UA

Overall Score

Challenge Make-up Breakdown

	1	2	3	4	5	6	Totals
Numerical Responses and Calculations							
Challenges that require a numerical calculation or answer will be scored: 1 pt. for a good faith effort in the response 2 pts. for the student understood what was asked of in the question 3 pts. for an answer within 25% +or- of the correct answer 4 pts. for an answer within 10% +or- of the correct answer		2	4	4	4	4	22
Diagrammatic or Pictorial Response							
Challenges that require a diagrammatic response in one page: 3-5 pt. for a good faith effort in the response 6-8 pts. for a reasonable and logical engineering solution	4	0	4				8
Presentation Delivery							
Volume and articulation, eye contact, enthusiasm, timing 8 pt. for a good faith effort in presentation delivery 16 pts for good articulation and transition from speaker to speaker 24 pts. for good enthusiasm, articulation, and professionalism		23					23
Presentation Content							
Understanding of project and engineering approach to each problem 8 pt. for basic walkthrough of problems 16 pts for understanding of problem cause and effect 24 pts. for thorough description of problems, understanding of solutions, and detailed response to construction engineering purpose behind the technical approach and project management plan for each problem.		20					20
Bonus							
4pts for putting names on the submission and submitting in one PDF.		4					4
Deductions (Late submission)							
				Submission Forfeited			
Total Score							77

Best Speaker / Leader

Judge Average

69